

MCBQ Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Comment Matrix						
	Reviewer (Name / Title)	Page	Item	Reviewer's Comment	ATKINS/MCBQ Response to Comment	Document Change Reference
1	Maria Sinner, VDOT	2-10	2nd Paragraph	the first sentence implies the Northern Virginia Regional Bike Network Study is a recent document. In actuality, it was completed around 10 or 11 years ago. At the present time an update is being performed to evaluate how much of the original network has been built.	Concur. The paragraph has been edited per comment recommendations to read as, "The Northern Virginia Regional Bike Network Study by VDOT helps to coordinate local planning efforts and form key connections between activity clusters in Northern Virginia. The study promotes the advancement of bicycling as a viable travel option throughout the region. Regional bikeway networks were identified based on input from the public and the local jurisdictions including Prince William County and the National Park Service. A series of recommendations is needed to achieve the vision of an interconnected network of bikeways for a comfortable, convenient, and safe transportation option. The study is currently being updated to evaluate how much of the original network has been built."	Text change, Page 2-10, 2nd Paragraph.
2	Maria Sinner, VDOT	2-11	3rd Paragraph	the last sentence refers to photo of a "grade separated path". But the term "grade separated" means an overpass or underpass and involves a bridge. That is not what is shown in the photo. The photo is showing a standard shared use path built along a roadway.	Concur. The sentence referencing a "grade-separated path" reference has been deleted.	Text deleted.
3	Maria Sinner, VDOT	2-15	Part 2.5 Design Standards Context	While the Design Standards are based on AASHTO, VDOT, and MUTCD, there are distinct differences in the definition of terms when compared to the other documents. We recommend that the plan note this difference at this point and refer the reader to Appendix A, Table 3.4, and Table 3.7 for applicable definitions.	Concur. Added text on page 2-14 explaining, "In some cases, the various standards referenced for this document use differing terms to describe the same type of bicycle and pedestrian facility. In these cases, this document defaults to the AASHTO guidance. Terms used in this document to describe the various bicycle and pedestrian facilities can be found in Chapter 3 and in Appendix A where definitions and descriptions are provided."	Text change, Page 2-15, Section 2.5 Design Standards Context has been updated.

MCBQ Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Comment Matrix						
	Reviewer (Name / Title)	Page	Item	Reviewer's Comment	ATKINS/MCBQ Response to Comment	Document Change Reference
4	Maria Sinner, VDOT	2-16	Americans with Disabilities Act	We suggest the plan also refer to the latest Public Rights of Way document published in the Federal Register. While this document has not yet been officially adopted by the Justice Department, it is considered the "best practices" for bicycle and pedestrian facilities along roads. VDOT has adopted this document with the approval of FHWA and the Access Board as noted in IIM 55.	concur. Added reference to accessibility guidelines for shared use paths per the Federal Register as recommended in the comment.	Text change, Page 2-16, references updated.
5	Maria Sinner, VDOT	3-19	from photo of Mt. Vernon Trail	It appears that the definition of a Shared Use Path is intended to be only those facilities on an independent alignment, However, in Virginia a shared use path is often tied to the adjacent road as part of the typical section. This type of shared use path is commonly called a "side path". Was the intention of the report to exclude side paths?	Concur. The definition in the table for shared use path has been edited to state, "Shared use paths are facilities that are physically separated from motorized vehicular traffic by an open space or barrier. They may reside either within the road right-of-way (sometimes called a "side path" when part of a road section) or within an independent right-of-way. Users are not limited to bicyclists and include pedestrians, skaters, wheelchair users, and people with strollers and pets." Definition of shared-use path has also been edited in Appendix A: Definitions.	Text change, Page 3-19 and Appendix A, updated definitions.
6	Maria Sinner, VDOT	4-4	Table 4.2	Throughout this table, there are several recommendation for a "share the Road" sign assembly along the route. We would caution the designer to remember that this sign is a warning sign and if over used as a route sign it becomes ineffective. It is best used where there are unexpected entries by bicyclists onto a roadway similar to when a driver is passing a rock quarry and the warning sign alerts the driver to unexpected truck entries onto the road. On shared roads where a bicycle and car cannot exist side by side, either the R3-17 regulatory sign, "Bikes May Use Full Lane" or a shared lane marking (used as a positioning device) are preferable options.	Concur. Edited table to note that W11-1 & W16-1P warning signage only to be used when needed.	Text change, Page 4-4, Table 4.2, road sign reference changed.
7	Maria Sinner, VDOT	4-16	Table 4.4	This chart used a 4' width as a standard sidewalk width. This appears to conflict with the sidewalk characteristics in Table 5.2 on page 5-12 and 5-13. Note that the AASHTO's Guide for Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities (page 11) states for 2 people to walk side by side they need 4.67' of operating space and for 2 wheelchairs to pass each other they need 5'. Thus the minimum sidewalk width has been increased to 5' in both VDOT standards and the Access Board's Public Rights of Way document.	Concur. Edited width dimension in table 4.4 to 5 feet wide	Text change, Page 4-16, Table 4.4, updated sidewalk dimension.

	MCBQ Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Comment Matrix					
	Reviewer (Name / Title)	Page	Item	Reviewer's Comment	ATKINS/MCBQ Response to Comment	Document Change Reference
8	Steven Hall, Prince William County Planning Office	General		There is a potential for collaboration in connecting Marine Corps Base Quantico to neighboring existing/proposed transportation networks in PWC such as the Potomac Heritage National Scenic Trail, residential neighborhoods, commercial areas, etc. ° The Prince William County Planning Office, Department of Transportation and Trails and Blueways Council should be seen as a partner when considering walkability and transit solutions, particularly in the Route 1 corridor.	Agreed. MCB Quantico will coordinate with stakeholders for future network connections.	
9	Debbie Spiliotopoulos, Northern Virginia Regional Commission	General		Ensure that the report uses definitions and terminology for on road, off road multiuse trails, pedestrian trails, and sidewalks to match the {Prince William County definitions}.	Concur. Added text on page 2-14 explaining, "In some cases, the various standards referenced for this document use differing terms to describe the same type of bicycle and pedestrian facility. In these cases, this document defaults to the AASHTO guidance. Terms used in this document to describe the various bicycle and pedestrian facilities can be found in Chapter 3 and in Appendix A where definitions and descriptions are provided."	Text change, see comment response 5 (above).
10	Debbie Spiliotopoulos, Northern Virginia Regional Commission	General		Prince William County is actively developing and planning trail segments throughout the county. It would be ideal if the base would work with the PHNST Trail Supervisor, NVRC, and NCPC to provide recreational and nonmotorized transportation connections within and around the base. [Working with the regional organizations will] benefit from transportation and recreational opportunities. Benefits of trails extend well beyond transportation, providing increased home value, {and overall experience for] visitors. The Marine Barracks Quantico should consider participating in regional trail efforts and Potomac heritage national scenic trail land [management].	Agreed. MCB Quantico will coordinate with stakeholders for future network connections.	
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

	MCBQ Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Comment Matrix					
	Reviewer (Name / Title)	Page	Item	Reviewer's Comment	ATKINS/MCBQ Response to Comment	Document Change Reference
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						
48						
49						
50						
51						
52						
53						
54						
55						
56						
57						
58						
59						
60						
61						
62						
63						
64						
65						
66						
67						
68						
69						
70						

	MCBQ Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Comment Matrix					
	Reviewer (Name / Title)	Page	Item	Reviewer's Comment	ATKINS/MCBQ Response to Comment	Document Change Reference
71						
72						
73						
74						
75						
76						
77						
78						
79						
80						
81						
82						
83						
84						
85						
86						
87						
88						
89						
90						
91						
92						
93						
94						
95						
96						
97						
98						
99						
100						
101						
102						
103						
104						
105						
106						
107						
108						
109						
110						
111						
112						
113						
114						
115						
116						
117						
118						

	MCBQ Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Comment Matrix					
	Reviewer (Name / Title)	Page	Item	Reviewer's Comment	ATKINS/MCBQ Response to Comment	Document Change Reference
119						
120						
121						
122						
123						
124						
125						
126						
127						
128						
129						
130						
131						
132						
133						
134						
135						
136						
137						
138						
139						
140						
141						
142						
143						
144						
145						
146						
147						

	MCBQ Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Comment Matrix					
	Reviewer (Name / Title)	Page	Item	Reviewer's Comment	ATKINS/MCBQ Response to Comment	Document Change Reference
148						
149						
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						

	MCBQ Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Comment Matrix					
	Reviewer (Name / Title)	Page	Item	Reviewer's Comment	ATKINS/MCBQ Response to Comment	Document Change Reference
46						
47						
48						
49						
50						
51						
52						
53						
54						
55						
56						
57						
58						
59						
60						
61						
62						
63						
64						
65						
66						
67						
68						
69						
70						
71						
72						
73						
74						
75						
76						
77						
78						
79						
80						
81						
82						
83						
84						
85						
86						
87						
88						
89						
90						
91						
92						
93						



	MCBQ Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Comment Matrix					
	Reviewer (Name / Title)	Page	Item	Reviewer's Comment	ATKINS/MCBQ Response to Comment	Document Change Reference
94						
95						
96						
97						
98						
99						
100						
101						
102						
103						
104						
105						
106						
107						
108						
109						
110						
111						
112						
113						
114						
115						
116						
117						
118						
119						
120						
121						
122						
123						
124						
125						
126						
127						
128						
129						
130						
131						
132						
133						
134						
135						
136						
137						
138						
139						
140						

	MCBQ Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Comment Matrix					
	Reviewer (Name / Title)	Page	Item	Reviewer's Comment	ATKINS/MCBQ Response to Comment	Document Change Reference
141						
142						
143						
144						
145						
146						
147						
148						
149						
150						
151						
152						
153						
154						
155						
156						
157						
158						
159						
160						
161						
162						
163						
164						
165						
166						
167						
168						
169						
170						
171						
172						
173						
174						
175						
176						
177						
178						
179						
180						
181						
182						
183						
184						
185						
186						
187						
188						

	MCBQ Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Comment Matrix					
	Reviewer (Name / Title)	Page	Item	Reviewer's Comment	ATKINS/MCBQ Response to Comment	Document Change Reference
189						
190						
191						
192						
193						
194						
195						
196						
197						
198						
199						
200						
201						
202						
203						
204						
205						
206						
207						
208						
209						
210						
211						